The Chromatic Number of the Disjointness Graph of the Double Chain

Ruy Fabila-Monroy^{1*} Carlos Hidalgo-Toscano^{2†} Jesús Leaños ³ Mario Lomelí-Haro ^{4‡}

¹ Departamento de Matemáticas, Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del Instituto Politécnico Nacional. México.

² Centro de Investigación e Innovación en Tecnologías de la Información y Comunicación, México

³ Unidad Académica de Matemáticas, Universidad Autónoma de Zacatecas, México

⁴ Instituto de Física, Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí, México

received 9th May 2018, revised 20th Feb. 2019, 3rd Sep. 2019, accepted 13th Feb. 2020.

Let P be a set of $n \ge 4$ points in general position in the plane. Consider all the closed straight line segments with both endpoints in P. Suppose that these segments are colored with the rule that disjoint segments receive different colors. In this paper we show that if P is the point configuration known as the double chain, with k points in the upper convex chain and $l \ge k$ points in the lower convex chain, then $k + l - \lfloor \sqrt{2l + \frac{1}{4}} - \frac{1}{2} \rfloor$ colors are needed and that this number is sufficient.

Keywords: chromatic number, double chain, edge disjointness graph

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, P is a set of $n \ge 4$ points in general position in the plane. The *edge disjointness* graph, D(P), of P is the graph whose vertices are all the closed straight line segments with endpoints in P; two of which are adjacent in D(P) if and only if they are disjoint. The edge disjointness graph and other similar graphs were introduced by Araujo et al. (2005), as geometric analogs of the well known Kneser graphs. Let m and k be positive integers with $k \le m/2$. We recall that the *Kneser graph* KG(m; k) is the graph whose vertices are all the k-subsets of $\{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$; two of which are adjacent if and only if they correspond to disjoint k-subsets.

The *chromatic number* of a graph G is the minimum number of colors needed to color its vertices so that adjacent vertices receive different colors; it is denoted by $\chi(G)$. Kneser (1956) posed the problem of finding the chromatic number of the Kneser graph. He conjectured that

 $\chi(KG(n;k)) = n - 2k + 2$

ISSN 1365–8050 (c) 2020 by the author(s)

Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

^{*}was partially supported by CONACyT of Mexico grant 253261

[†]was partially supported by CONACyT of Mexico grant 253261

[‡]Gratefully acknowledges CONACyT for doctorate scholarship 163435.

for $n \ge 2k - 1$. The upper bound can be shown with simple combinatorial arguments. The lower bound was proved by Lovász (1978) using tools from algebraic topology (specifically the Borsuk-Ulam theorem). This is one of the earliest applications of Algebraic Topology to combinatorial problems. For a nice account of this connection see the book of Matoušek (2003).

Recently, Pach and Tomon (2019) have proved that if G is the disjointness graph of a family of grounded x-monotone curves such that $\omega(G) = k$, then $\chi(G) \leq {\binom{k+1}{2}}$, where $\omega(G)$ denotes the clique number of G. We remark that the family of grounded x-monotone curves play the role of our closed straight line segments.

Clearly, the chromatic number is a well studied parameter of the Kneser graph and its relatives. A general upper bound of

$$\chi(D(P)) \le \min\left\{n-2, n+\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\lfloor \log \log n \rfloor}{2}\right\}$$

was proved by Araujo et al. (2005). They obtained it as follows. Let C_n be a set of n points in convex position in the plane. Let

$$f(n) := \chi(D(C_n))$$

They showed that $f(n) \le n - \frac{\lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor}{2}$. Erdös and Szekeres (1935) proved that P has a subset of at least $m = \lfloor \log_2(n)/2 \rfloor$ points in convex position. The segments with endpoints in this subset are colored using f(m) colors; the remaining segments are colored by deleting the remaining points one by one and in the process coloring all the segments with this point as an endpoint with the same new color.

The exact value of f(n) has been computed. It is now known that

$$f(n) = n - \left\lfloor \sqrt{2n + \frac{1}{4}} - \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor.$$
 (1)

Indeed, Fabila-Monroy and Wood (2011) showed that the expression on the right hand side of Eq. (1) is a lower bound for f(n); and Jonsson (2011) established Eq. (1) by proving that such an expression is also an upper bound for f(n). Repeating the above arguments, we have that

$$\chi(D(P)) \le n - \left\lfloor \sqrt{\log n + \frac{1}{4}} - \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor.$$

As far as we know $\{C_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is the only infinite family of point configurations⁽ⁱ⁾ for which the exact value of the chromatic number of their disjointness graph has been computed. In this paper we compute the chromatic number of the disjointness graph of another infinite family of point configurations, called the double chain.

We now define this family. A k-cup is a set of k points in convex position in the plane such that its convex hull is bounded from above by an edge. Similarly, an l-cap is a set of l points in convex position whose convex hull is bounded from below by an edge.

Definition 1 For $k \leq l$, a (k, l)-double-chain is the disjoint union of two point sets U and L such that:

• U is a k-cup and L is an l-cap;

⁽ⁱ⁾ with different order types, that is.

The Chromatic Number of the Disjointness Graph of the Double Chain

- every point of L is below every straight line determined by two points of U; and
- every point of U is above every straight line determined by two points of L;

In Figure 1 we illustrate a (5,7)-double-chain and some of its edges. Note that Figure 1 suggests a natural way to construct a (k, l)-double-chain for any pair (k, l) of admissible integers. Moreover, it is a routine exercise to show that any two (k, l)-double-chains are the same (up to order type isomorphism). In view of this, we shall use $C_{k,l}$ to denote any (k, l)-double-chain, and we often refer to it simply as the *double chain*. Each of the geometric properties of $C_{k,l}$ in next remark follows easily from its definition, and they will be often used, without explicit mention, in our arguments.

Remark 1 Let U and L be the k-cup and the l-cap of $C_{k,l}$, respectively. Then the following holds:

- If U' and L' are proper subsets of U and L, respectively, then the set of points that results from $C_{k,l}$ by deleting the points in $U' \cup L'$ remains a double chain.
- Any straight line segment in the frontier of the convex hull of U (respectively, L) does not cross any other straight line segment joining two points of L (respectively, U) See Figure 1.
- Let g be a straight line segment with an endpoint in U and the other one in L, and let f be a straight line segment joining two points of $X \in \{U, L\}$. If g and f intersect each other, then they do at a common endpoint.

The double chain was first introduced by Hurtado et al. (1999) as an example of a set of n points (in general position) whose flip graph of triangulations has diameter $\Theta(n^2)$. Since then the double chain has been used as an extremal example in various problems on point sets, see for example Aichholzer et al. (2007, 2015, 2008); Cibulka et al. (2009, 2013); Dumitrescu et al. (2013); García et al. (2000).

In this paper we show (Theorem 1) that for $l \ge 3$

$$\chi(D(C_{k,l})) = k + f(l)$$

Note that for n even and k = l = n/2, $C_{\frac{n}{2},\frac{n}{2}}$ is a set of n points for which

$$\chi\left(D\left(C_{\frac{n}{2},\frac{n}{2}}\right)\right) = n - \left\lfloor\sqrt{n + \frac{1}{4}} - \frac{1}{2}\right\rfloor \ge f(n) + c\sqrt{n}$$

for some positive constant c. So, to color the disjointness graph of $C_{\frac{n}{2},\frac{n}{2}}$, more colors are needed than to color the disjointness graph of C_n . We conjecture that for every $n \ge 3$, and for every set P of n points

$$\chi(D(P)) \ge f(n).$$

2 Preliminary Results and Definitions

Before proceeding we present some results and definitions. A *geometric graph* is a graph whose vertices are points in the plane, and whose edges are straight line segments joining these points. For exposition purposes, we abuse notation and use P to refer to the complete geometric graph with vertex set equal to P. Thus, $\chi(D(P))$ is the minimum number of colors in an edge-coloring of P in which any two edges belonging to the same chromatic class cross or are incident.

Let c be a proper vertex coloring⁽ⁱⁱ⁾ of D(P) and let S be a chromatic class of D(P) in this coloring.

⁽ii) a coloring in which pairs of adjacent vertices receive different colors.



Fig. 1: This is a drawing of $C_{5,7}$ and some of its edges. The edge e = xy is in the convex hull of L and is not crossed by any of remaining edges of $C_{5,7}$. Thus any edge receiving the same color as e in any proper coloring of $D(C_{5,7})$ must be incident with exactly one of x of y.

We say that S is a *star* if all of its edges share a common vertex, which we call an *apex*. If S is not a star then it is a *thrackle*. See Figure 2.



Fig. 2: A star and two distinct thrackles of the same set of 6 points.

Proposition 1 Let c be an optimal coloring of D(P) and let S_1, \ldots, S_r be different stars of c with apices v_1, \ldots, v_r , respectively. Then

$$\chi(D(P \setminus \{v_1, \dots, v_r\})) = \chi(D(P)) - r.$$

Proof: Suppose that there exists a coloring $\chi(D(P \setminus \{v_1, \ldots, v_r\}))$ with less than $\chi(D(P)) - r$ colors. Extend this coloring to a coloring of D(P) by using a new different color for each S_i . This produces a coloring of D(P) with less than $\chi(D(P))$ colors.

Let

$$g(n) := \max\left\{i : i \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \binom{i}{2} \le n\right\}.$$
(2)

Jonsson (2011) observed, in the remark following Theorem 1.1, that

$$f(n) = n - g(n) + 1.$$

This implies the following result.

Proposition 2

$$f(n+1) = \begin{cases} f(n) & \text{if } n = \binom{i}{2} - 1 \text{ for some positive integer } i \text{ and} \\ f(n) + 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, $f(n+k) - f(n) \le k$, for every nonnegative integer k.

Proposition 3 In every optimal coloring of $D(C_n)$ there is at most one chromatic class consisting of a single edge of P.

Proof: Suppose for a contradiction that for some *n* there exists an optimal coloring *c* of $D(C_n)$ with two chromatic classes, S_1 and S_2 , consisting of a single edge. Furthermore, suppose that *n* is the minimum such integer. The minimality of *n* and Proposition 1 imply that S_1 and S_2 are the only stars of *c*.

Let T_1, \ldots, T_k be the chromatic classes of c different from S_1 and S_2 . Note that these are thrackles. Fabila-Monroy and Wood (2011) showed that $T_1 \cup \cdots \cup T_k$ consists of at most $kn - \binom{k}{2}$ edges of C_n . Therefore, $\binom{n}{2} \leq kn - \binom{k}{2} + 2$. This implies that $(n-k)^2 \leq n+k+4$. Since k = f(n)-2 = n-g(n)-1, we have that $(g(n)+1)^2 \leq 2n - (g(n)+1) + 4$. Rearranging terms in the previous inequality we arrive at $\binom{g(n)+1}{2} \leq n-g(n)+1$. By the definition of $g(n), \binom{g(n)+1}{2} > n$. Therefore, g(n) < 1 –a contradiction.

3 The Chromatic Number of $D(C_{k,l})$

It is relatively easy to find an optimal coloring of $D(C_{k,l})$.

Lemma 1 For all positive integers $k \leq l$,

$$\chi(D(C_{k,l})) \le k + f(l).$$

Proof: Color the edges of L of $C_{k,l}$ with f(l) colors. For each of the k vertices in U, color the edges incident to them, that have not been colored yet, with a new color. This yields a proper coloring of $D(C_{k,l})$ with k + f(l) colors.

The following lemma is needed to prove the lower bound on $\chi(D(C_{k,l}))$.

Lemma 2 If $l \ge 3$, then $\chi(D(C_{1,l})) \ge 1 + f(l)$.

Proof:

From Eq. (1) we know that f(3) = 1. Now we shall show that $\chi(D(C_{1,3})) = 1 + f(3) = 2$. The proper coloring of $D(C_{1,3})$ given in Figure 3 shows that $\chi(D(C_{1,3})) \leq 2$. On the other hand, since the straight line segments yx_2 and x_1x_3 are disjoint, then they cannot receive the same color in any proper coloring of $D(C_{1,3})$. This implies that $\chi(D(C_{1,3})) \geq 2$, as required.



Fig. 3: A proper coloring of $D(C_{1,3})$.

Assume that $l \ge 4$ and that the result holds for smaller values of l. Let c be an optimal coloring of $D(C_{1,l})$. We may assume that c, when restricted to L uses f(l) colors, as otherwise we are done.

Suppose that c has a star with apex v. Then by Proposition 1, the graph $D(C_{1,l} \setminus \{v\})$ can be properly colored with one color less. If v is the single point in U, then c uses at least f(l) + 1 colors. If v is in L, then by induction, c uses at least $\chi(D(C_{1,l-1})) + 1 = f(l-1) + 2$ colors. By Proposition 2 this is at least f(l) + 1. Then we can assume that all chromatic classes of c are thrackles.

We claim that if all the edges incident to the single vertex u in U are in the same chromatic class H, then H is a star with apex u. Indeed, let h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_l be the edges incident with u, and let $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_l \in L$ be their respective endpoints. Then $\{h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_l\} \subseteq H$ and $L = \{w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_l\}$. Now, suppose by way of contradiction that there is an edge $w_i w_j$ belonging to H. Since $l \ge 3$, then there exists a point $w_k \in L \setminus \{w_i, w_j\}$. The existence of such w_k and the fact that L is an l-cap imply that h_k is disjoint from $w_i w_j$. But this contradicts that h_k and $w_i w_j$ are in the chromatic class H. Thus we may assume that there are two edges incident to u with different color.

Let e_1 and e_2 be two edges incident to u of different colors. Suppose that e_1 is colored red and e_2 is colored *blue*. Let v_1 and v_2 be their respective endpoints in L. Since the *red* and *blue* edges are not stars, there exist edges f_1 and f_2 , both with endpoints in L, of colors *red* and *blue*, respectively. Note also that all the *red* edges of L must be incident to v_1 and that all the *blue* edges of L must be incident to v_2 . Since the *red* and the *blue* edges are not stars, then there exist other edges incident to u of colors *red* and *blue*. Let g_1 and g_2 be such edges, and suppose that g_1 is *red* and that g_2 is *blue*.

We claim that f_1 and f_2 are the only *red* and *blue* edges in *L*. Seeking a contradiction, suppose that there exists a *red* edge $f'_1 \neq f_1$ with endpoints in *L*. From previous paragraph we know that both f_1 and f'_1 are incident with v_1 . Let v and v' be the other endpoints of f_1 and f'_1 , respectively. Then $v \neq v'$, and as a consequence, there is a $w \in \{v, v'\}$ such that w is not in g_1 . This implies that the element of $\{f_1, f'_1\}$ that is incident with w is disjoint from g_1 . This last statement contradicts the assumption that f_1, f'_1 , and g_1 are all *red*. A totally analogous argument shows that f_2 is the only *blue* edge in *L*. Therefore, c when restricted to L is an optimal coloring of C_l in which two chromatic classes consist of a single edge. The last conclusion contradicts Proposition 3, yielding that the restriction of c to L is not optimal. This contradicts our earlier supposition that c, when restricted to L uses f(l) colors.

Lemma 3 If $l \ge 3$, then $\chi(D(C_{k,l})) \ge k + f(l)$.

Proof: Suppose for a contradiction that there exist k and l such that there exists an optimal coloring c of $D(C_{k,l})$ with less than k + f(l) colors. Furthermore suppose that k and l are such that k + l is minimum. It can be checked by hand that the theorem holds for $k \le l \le 3$, and by Lemma 2 it holds for k = 1. Therefore, $k \ge 2$ and $l \ge 4$.

Suppose that c has a star with apex v. By Proposition 1, $D(C_{k,l} \setminus \{v\})$ can be colored with less than k + f(l) - 1 colors. If v is in U then we have $C_{k,l} \setminus \{v\} = C_{k-1,l}$ and $D(C_{k-1,l})$ can be colored with less than (k-1) + f(l) colors; this contradicts the minimality of k + l. If k = l, we can assume without loss of generality that v is in U. Thus, we assume that v is in L and that k < l. Then $C_{k,l} \setminus \{v\} = C_{k,l-1}$ and, by Proposition 1, $D(C_{k,l-1})$ can be colored with less than k + f(l) - 1 colors. By Proposition 2, we know that $k + f(l) - 1 \leq k + f(l-1)$; this contradicts the minimality of k + l. Thus we can assume that all the chromatic classes of c are thrackles.

Note that there are exactly four edges e_1 , e_2 , e_3 and e_4 in the convex hull of $C_{k,l}$, and let v_1, v_2, v_3 and v_4 be the set of endpoints of e_1 , e_2 , e_3 and e_4 . Since each e_i does not cross any other edge, then every edge of the same color as e_i must be incident to one of the endpoints of e_i . Let γ be the number of different colors received by these four edges in c. Note that $\gamma = 2, 3$ or 4.

Suppose that $\gamma = 2$. Without loss of generality assume that e_1 and e_2 are *blue*; e_3 and e_4 are *red*; v_3 is the common endpoint of e_1 and e_2 ; and that v_4 is the common endpoint of e_3 and e_4 . See Figure 4 (left). We claim that at least one of these two chromatic classes is a star. Suppose that the *blue* chromatic class is not a star. Then there is a *blue* edge g which is not incident to v_3 . As neither e_1 nor e_2 is crossed by any other edge, then such a g must be v_1v_2 . Since g is *blue* and it is the the only edge that intersects both e_3 and e_4 but not at v_4 , then the *red* chromatic class is a star with apex v_4 , a contradiction to the assumption that all the chromatic classes of c are thrackles.

Suppose that $\gamma = 4$. Then there are no edges with the same color as any of the e_i in $C_{k,l} \setminus \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$. Therefore, c when restricted to the subgraph $D(C_{k,l} \setminus \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\})$ uses less than k + f(l) - 4 colors. See Figure 4 (right). Note that $C_{k,l} \setminus \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\} = C_{k-2,l-2}$; by Proposition 2, k + f(l) - 4 is at most (k-2) + f(l-2); this contradicts the minimality of k + l.

Finally, suppose that $\gamma = 3$. Then exactly two of the e_i are of the same color; moreover these edges share an endpoint. Without loss of generality assume that: these edges are e_1 and e_2 ; their common endpoint is v_3 ; and that the other endpoints of e_1 and e_2 are v_1 and v_2 , respectively. Assume that e_1 and e_2 are colored *blue*. Since all the chromatic classes in c are thrackles then the edge v_1v_2 must also be colored *blue*. Let S := U if v_3 is in U and let S := L if v_3 is in L. Without loss of generality assume that v_1 is not in S. Note that any other *blue* edge must be incident to v_3 and its other endpoint is not in S. Now we recolor *blue* all the edges incident with v_3 and having the other endpoint not in S. See Figure 5.

First let us assume that $|S| \ge 3$. We only show the case in which S = U. The proof for the case S = L is totally analogous. Then $S \setminus \{v_2, v_3\}$ is not empty. Let w be the vertex in $S \setminus \{v_2, v_3\}$ which is the closest to v_3 . See Figure 5 (left). From the definition of w we have that the edge v_3w does not cross any other edge, and in particular v_3w cannot be *blue*. Suppose that v_3w is *red*. If v_1w is also colored *red*,



Fig. 4: The cases $\gamma = 2$ (left) and $\gamma = 4$ (right) in the proof of Lemma 3.

then the *red* chromatic class is a star, a contradiction. Thus v_1w is not red. Since v_1w cannot be colored *blue*, we assume that it is colored *gray*. See Figure 5 (left). Since v_1w is crossed only by *blue* edges, then any other *gray* edge must be incident to v_1 or w. Also note that every *red* edge must be incident to v_3 or w. These observations together imply that c when restricted to $C_{k,l} \setminus \{v_1, w, v_3\}$ is a coloring of $D(C_{k,l} \setminus \{v_1, w, v_3\})$ with less than k + f(l) - 3 colors. Then $C_{k,l} \setminus \{v_1, w, v_3\} = C_{k-2,l-1}$. By Proposition 2, $k + f(l) - 3 \leq (k-2) + f(l-1)$; this contradicts the minimality of k + l.

Now suppose that |S| = 2. Then $S = U = \{v_2, v_3\}$. By symmetry, we may assume that e_1, e_2, e_3 and e_4 are placed as in Figure 5 (right), and that $e_3 = v_2v_4$ is green. Let w be the vertex in $L \setminus \{v_1, v_4\}$ which is closest to v_4 . Then wv_4 does not cross any other edge, and any edge crossing wv_2 is blue. Also note that wv_2 cannot be blue. If wv_2 and wv_4 receive the same color, different from green, then the chromatic class containing them must be a star. Similarly, if wv_2, wv_4 and v_2v_4 receive distinct colors, then we can proceed as in previous paragraph and deduce that $C_{1,l-2} = C_{2,l} \setminus \{v_2, w, v_4\}$ is a counterexample that contradicts the minimality of k + l.

Thus we may assume that at least one of wv_2 or wv_4 is green. We claim that both are green. Because v_2v_4 is not crossed by any edge, then any other green edge must be adjacent to exactly one of v_2 or v_4 . This and the fact that the green chromatic class is not a star, imply that for each $v \in \{v_2, v_4\}$ there exists at least one green edge distinct of v_2v_4 which is incident with v. Let v_2x and v_4y be any couple of such green edges. Clearly, $x, y \in L \setminus \{v_4\}$. Since the green edges incident with v_2 are crossed only by blue edges, then we must have that x = y. This and the fact that at least one of wv_2 or wv_4 is green imply that w = x = y. This implies that the green chromatic class consists precisely of wv_2, wv_4 and v_2v_4 .

Let w' be the vertex in $L \setminus \{v_1, w, v_4\}$ which is the closest to w. See Figure 5 (right). Note that ww' does not cross any other edge, and that any edge crossing $w'v_2$ is *blue*. Also note that none of $w'v_2$ and $w'v_4$ can be *blue* or *green*. Again, if $w'v_2$ and $w'v_4$ receive the same color, then the chromatic class containing them must be a star. Thus we assume that $w'v_2$ and $w'v_4$ have distinct colors. This implies that the color of at least one of $w'v_2$ or $w'v_4$ is different from the color of ww'. Let $v \in \{v_2, v_4\}$ such that $c(ww') \neq c(w'v)$. Since none of ww' and w'v can be *green*, then the colors of ww', wv, and w'v



Fig. 5: Here we illustrate the only two (up to symmetry) possibilities for the case $\gamma = 3$. On the left we have the case in which $|S| \ge 3$ and S = U. On the right we have the case in which |S| = 2 and hence S = U.

are distinct. From this and the fact that any edge crossing w'v is *blue* or incident with w it follows that $C_{2,l} \setminus \{v, w, w'\}$ is a counterexample that contradicts the minimality of k + l. The result follows. \Box

Summarizing, we have the following result.

Theorem 1 For $l \ge 3$, $\chi(D(C_{k,l})) = k + f(l)$.

Acknowledgements

We thank two anonymous referees for their valuable comments and improvements to the presentation.

References

- O. Aichholzer, T. Hackl, C. Huemer, F. Hurtado, H. Krasser, and B. Vogtenhuber. On the number of plane geometric graphs. *Graphs Combin.*, 23(suppl. 1):67–84, 2007. ISSN 0911-0119. doi: 10.1007/ s00373-007-0704-5. URL https://doi.org/10.1007/s00373-007-0704-5.
- O. Aichholzer, D. Orden, F. Santos, and B. Speckmann. On the number of pseudo-triangulations of certain point sets. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 115(2):254–278, 2008. ISSN 0097-3165. doi: 10.1016/j.jcta.2007. 06.002. URL https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcta.2007.06.002.
- O. Aichholzer, W. Mulzer, and A. Pilz. Flip distance between triangulations of a simple polygon is NP-complete. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 54(2):368–389, 2015. ISSN 0179-5376. doi: 10.1007/ s00454-015-9709-7. URL https://doi.org/10.1007/s00454-015-9709-7.
- G. Araujo, A. Dumitrescu, F. Hurtado, M. Noy, and J. Urrutia. On the chromatic number of some geometric type Kneser graphs. *Comput. Geom.*, 32(1):59–69, 2005. ISSN 0925-7721. doi: 10.1016/j.comgeo. 2004.10.003. URL https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comgeo.2004.10.003.

- J. Cibulka, J. Kynčl, V. Mészáros, R. Stolař, and P. Valtr. Hamiltonian alternating paths on bicolored double-chains. In *Graph drawing*, volume 5417 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, pages 181– 192. Springer, Berlin, 2009. doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-00219-9_18. URL https://doi.org/10. 1007/978-3-642-00219-9_18.
- J. Cibulka, J. Kynčl, V. Mészáros, R. Stolař, and P. Valtr. Universal sets for straight-line embeddings of bicolored graphs. In *Thirty essays on geometric graph theory*, pages 101–119. Springer, New York, 2013. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4614-0110-0_8. URL https://doi.org/10.1007/ 978-1-4614-0110-0_8.
- A. Dumitrescu, A. Schulz, A. Sheffer, and C. D. Tóth. Bounds on the maximum multiplicity of some common geometric graphs. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 27(2):802–826, 2013. ISSN 0895-4801. doi: 10.1137/110849407. URL https://doi.org/10.1137/110849407.
- P. Erdös and G. Szekeres. A combinatorial problem in geometry. *Compositio Math.*, 2:463–470, 1935. ISSN 0010-437X. URL http://www.numdam.org/item?id=CM_1935__2_463_0.
- R. Fabila-Monroy and D. R. Wood. The chromatic number of the convex segment disjointness graph. In *Computational geometry*, volume 7579 of *Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci.*, pages 79–84. Springer, Cham, 2011. doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-34191-5_7. URL https://doi.org/10.1007/ 978-3-642-34191-5_7.
- A. García, M. Noy, and J. Tejel. Lower bounds on the number of crossing-free subgraphs of K_N . *Comput. Geom.*, 16(4):211–221, 2000. ISSN 0925-7721. doi: 10.1016/S0925-7721(00)00010-9. URL https://doi.org/10.1016/S0925-7721(00)00010-9.
- F. Hurtado, M. Noy, and J. Urrutia. Flipping edges in triangulations. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 22(3): 333–346, 1999. ISSN 0179-5376. doi: 10.1007/PL00009464. URL https://doi.org/10.1007/PL00009464.
- J. Jonsson. The exact chromatic number of the convex segment disjointness graph. 2011. URL https: //arxiv.org/abs/1804.01057.
- M. Kneser. Aufgabe 360. Jahresbericht der Deutschen Mathematiker-Vereinigung, 58:27, 1956. URL http://www.digizeitschriften.de/dms/img/?PID=PPN37721857X_ 0058%7Clog20.
- L. Lovász. Kneser's conjecture, chromatic number, and homotopy. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 25(3):319–324, 1978. ISSN 0097-3165. doi: 10.1016/0097-3165(78)90022-5. URL https://doi.org/10.1016/0097-3165(78)90022-5.
- J. Matoušek. Using the Borsuk-Ulam theorem. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003. ISBN 3-540-00362-2. Lectures on topological methods in combinatorics and geometry, Written in cooperation with Anders Björner and Günter M. Ziegler.
- J. Pach and I. Tomon. On the chromatic number of disjointness graphs of curves. In *35th International Symposium on Computational Geometry*, volume 129 of *LIPIcs. Leibniz Int. Proc. Inform.*, pages Art. No. 54, 17. Schloss Dagstuhl. Leibniz-Zent. Inform., Wadern, 2019. doi: 10.1016/j.jctb.2020.02.003. URL https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jctb.2020.02.003.